

**OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY  
AND HEALTH STANDARDS BOARD**

2520 Venture Oaks Way, Suite 350  
Sacramento, CA 95833  
(916) 274-5721  
FAX (916) 274-5743  
[www.dir.ca.gov/oshsb](http://www.dir.ca.gov/oshsb)



**NOTICE OF PUBLIC MEETING/PUBLIC HEARING/BUSINESS MEETING  
OF THE OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH STANDARDS BOARD  
AND NOTICE OF PROPOSED CHANGES TO TITLE 8  
OF THE CALIFORNIA CODE OF REGULATIONS**

Pursuant to Government Code Section 11346.4 and the provisions of Labor Code Sections 142.1, 142.2, 142.3, 142.4, and 144.6, the Occupational Safety and Health Standards Board of the State of California has set the time and place for a Public Meeting, Public Hearing, and Business Meeting:

**PUBLIC MEETING:** On **October 21, 2004**, at 10:00 a.m.  
in the Auditorium of the California State Building,  
1350 Front Street, San Diego, California 92101.

At the Public Meeting, the Board will make time available to receive comments or proposals from interested persons on any item concerning occupational safety and health.

**PUBLIC HEARING:** On **October 21, 2004**, following the Public Meeting  
in the Auditorium of the California State Building,  
1350 Front Street, San Diego, California 92101.

At the Public Hearing, the Board will consider the public testimony on the proposed changes noticed below to occupational safety and health standards in Title 8 of the California Code of Regulations.

**BUSINESS MEETING:** On **October 21, 2004**, following the Public Hearing  
in the Auditorium of the California State Building,  
1350 Front Street, San Diego, California 92101.

At the Business Meeting, the Board will conduct its monthly business.

The meeting facilities and restrooms are accessible to the physically disabled. Requests for accommodations for the disabled (assistive listening device, sign language interpreters, etc.) should be made to the Board office no later than 10 working days prior to the day of the meeting. If Paratransit services are needed, please contact the Paratransit office nearest you.

**OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH  
STANDARDS BOARD**

---

STEVEN L. RANK, Chairman

NOTICE OF PROPOSED CHANGES TO TITLE 8  
OF THE CALIFORNIA CODE OF REGULATIONS  
BY THE OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH STANDARDS BOARD

Notice is hereby given pursuant to Government Code Section 11346.4 and Labor Code Sections 142.1, 142.4 and 144.5, that the Occupational Safety and Health Standards Board pursuant to the authority granted by Labor Code Section 142.3, and to implement Labor Code Section 142.3, will consider the following proposed revisions to Title 8, High Voltage Electrical Safety Orders and General Industry Safety Orders of the California Code of Regulations, as indicated below, at its Public Hearing on **October 21, 2004**.

1.    TITLE 8:    HIGH VOLTAGE ELECTRICAL SAFETY ORDERS  
                    Chapter 4, Subchapter 5, Article 36  
                    Section 2940.1  
                    **Voltage Determination**
  
2.    TITLE 8:    GENERAL INDUSTRY SAFETY ORDERS  
                    Chapter 4, Subchapter 7, Article 165  
                    Section 6184  
                    **Employee Alarm Systems**

A description of the proposed changes are as follows:

1. TITLE 8: HIGH VOLTAGE ELECTRICAL SAFETY ORDERS  
Chapter 4, Subchapter 5, Article 36  
Section 2940.1  
**Voltage Determination**

### **INFORMATIVE DIGEST OF PROPOSED ACTION/POLICY STATEMENT OVERVIEW**

This rulemaking proposal is initiated in response to a Division of Occupational Safety and Health (Division) memorandum, dated July 28, 2003, with attached Form 9, Request for New, or Change in Existing Safety Order. The Division's memorandum states that California's existing High Voltage Electrical Safety Orders (HVESO) do not address the issue of requiring the employer to determine whether there are any concealed, energized, high voltage conductors present prior to having employees perform work to prevent inadvertent contact between the conductor and the employee, tool or machine being operated.

The Division's Form 9 describes an accident involving a construction industry employee who was killed (electrocuted) while attempting to demolish a concrete pad with a jackhammer. Unbeknownst to the employee, an energized, 5000-volt electrical conductor (wire) was buried in the ground beneath the pad. Following the accident, the Division determined that California does not have a standard comparable to federal OSHA's standard contained in 29 Code of Federal Regulation (CFR) 1926.416(a)(3), which specifically requires employers to ascertain the presence of exposed or concealed electrical conductors which could pose a threat to the safety of employees working in the area by either direct, or indirect contact via tools, equipment, or machinery used/operated by the employee. This determination is required to be made, and made known to employees, prior to the work being performed.

In making its determination that California's standard was not at least as effective as the federal counterpart, the Division evaluated existing Title 8 standards, such as but not limited to, Sections 2941 through 2944, and trenching and excavation standards contained in Sections 1539 through 1541. The Division found that these standards do not specifically address ascertaining the location of concealed high voltage power lines when employees used powered tools to dig subterraneously (below ground). The Division concluded that California's HVESO is deficient in comparison to 29 CFR 1926.416(a)(3). Consequently, this rulemaking action will ensure that California's HVESO standards are at least as effective as those contained in 29 CFR 1926.416(a)(3), as required by California Labor Code Section 142.3(a)(2).

This proposed rulemaking action also contains nonsubstantive, editorial, reformatting of subsections, and grammatical revisions. These nonsubstantive revisions are not all discussed in this Informative Digest. However, these proposed revisions are clearly indicated in the regulatory text in underline and strikeout format. In addition to these nonsubstantive revisions, the following actions are proposed:

### Section 2940.1. Voltage Determination.

Existing Section 2940.1 requires the operating voltage of equipment to be determined before working on or near energized parts. An amendment is proposed to add a new subsection (b), which would require that before work is begun, the employer shall (1) ascertain by inquiry, direct observation or by instruments, whether any part of an energized electric power circuit, exposed or concealed, is so located that the performance of the work may bring any person, tool, or machine into physical or electrical contact with the electric power circuit, (2) post and maintain a warning sign(s) where such a circuit exists, and (3) advise employees of the location of such lines, the hazards involved, and the protective measures to be taken. The proposal would require employers to ascertain whether any part of an energized electric power circuit, exposed or concealed, is located within the work area prior to having employees begin their work, train employees of the potential hazards, and post signs stating the presence of the energized conductors. The proposal would also have the effect of rendering California's standard at least as effective as corresponding federal standards as mandated by Labor Code Section 142.3(a)(2).

In light of the proposed new subsection, it is also proposed to revise the section title to read, "Voltage Determination and Location." The amendment is necessary to more accurately reflect the revised contents of the section, and would have the effect of clarifying that the section now also pertains to voltage location.

## **COST ESTIMATES OF PROPOSED ACTION**

### **Costs or Savings to State Agencies**

No costs or savings to state agencies will result as a consequence of the proposed action.

### **Impact on Housing Costs**

The Board has made an initial determination that this proposal will not significantly affect housing costs.

### **Impact on Businesses**

The Board has made an initial determination that this proposal will not result in a significant, statewide adverse economic impact directly affecting businesses, including the ability of California businesses to compete with businesses in other states. Although the proposal now requires employers to ascertain whether exposed or concealed energized electric power circuits are located such that they pose a threat to the health and safety of employees, the proposal does not mandate any one specific method to be used. Employers are provided a no-cost opportunity to comply through consultation with the applicable utility company, or via existing documentation revealing the location of such energized conductors. Moreover, employers are already required by existing General Industry and Construction Safety Order standards to provide employee hazard instruction and training via the Injury Illness Prevention Program (IIPP). Corresponding federal requirements contained in 29 CFR 1926.416(a)(3) already require employers to (1) ascertain whether exposed or concealed energized electric power circuits are located such that they pose a threat to the health and safety of employees, (2) post and maintain warning signs where such circuits exist, and (3) advise employees of the location of such lines, the hazards involved, and the protective measures to be taken. Therefore, the Board is not aware of any new costs that a representative private person or business would necessarily incur in reasonable compliance with the proposed action.

**Cost Impact on Private Persons or Businesses**

The Board is not aware of any cost impact that a representative private person or business would necessarily incur in reasonable compliance with the proposed action.

**Costs or Savings in Federal Funding to the State**

The proposal will not result in costs or savings in federal funding to the state.

**Costs or Savings to Local Agencies or School Districts Required to be Reimbursed**

No costs to local agencies or school districts are required to be reimbursed. See explanation under "Determination of Mandate."

**Other Nondiscretionary Costs or Savings Imposed on Local Agencies**

This proposal does not impose nondiscretionary costs or savings on local agencies.

**DETERMINATION OF MANDATE**

The Occupational Safety and Health Standards Board has determined that the proposed standard does not impose a local mandate. Therefore, reimbursement by the state is not required pursuant to Part 7 (commencing with Section 17500) of Division 4 of the Government Code because the proposed amendments will not require local agencies or school districts to incur additional costs in complying with the proposal. Furthermore, this standard does not constitute a "new program or higher level of service of an existing program within the meaning of Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California Constitution."

The California Supreme Court has established that a "program" within the meaning of Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California Constitution is one which carries out the governmental function of providing services to the public, or which, to implement a state policy, imposes unique requirements on local governments and does not apply generally to all residents and entities in the state. (County of Los Angeles v. State of California (1987) 43 Cal.3d 46.)

The proposed standard does not require local agencies to carry out the governmental function of providing services to the public. Rather, the standard requires local agencies to take certain steps to ensure the safety and health of their own employees only. Moreover, the proposed standard does not in any way require local agencies to administer the California Occupational Safety and Health program. (See City of Anaheim v. State of California (1987) 189 Cal.App.3d 1478.)

This proposed standard does not impose unique requirements on local governments. All employers - state, local and private - will be required to comply with the prescribed standards.

**EFFECT ON SMALL BUSINESSES**

The Board has determined that the proposed amendments may affect small businesses. However, no economic impact is anticipated.

## **ASSESSMENT**

The adoption of the proposed amendments to this standard will neither create nor eliminate jobs in the State of California nor result in the elimination of existing businesses or create or expand businesses in the State of California.

## **REASONABLE ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED**

Our Board must determine that no reasonable alternative considered by the Board or that has otherwise been identified and brought to the attention of the Board would be more effective in carrying out the purpose for which the action is proposed or would be as effective and less burdensome to affected private persons than the proposed action.

2. TITLE 8:      GENERAL INDUSTRY SAFETY ORDERS  
Chapter 4, Subchapter 7, Article 165  
Section 6184  
**Employee Alarm Systems**

## **INFORMATIVE DIGEST OF PROPOSED ACTION/POLICY STATEMENT OVERVIEW**

The Occupational Safety and Health Standards Board (Board) initiates this rulemaking as result of a Request For New or Amended Safety Order (Form 9), dated October 19, 2001, submitted by the Division of Occupational Safety and Health (Division), to revise Section 6184 of the General Industry Safety Orders (GISO).

Existing Section 6184 contains minimum requirements for the design, maintenance, testing, and approval of all types of emergency employee alarm systems. Subsections (a)(4), (a)(5), and (a)(6) under "Scope and Application" contain prescriptive language regarding the installation and use of employee alarm systems, and therefore, are proposed to be relocated under the general requirements contained in subsection (b). Additionally, it is proposed to amend subsection (b) to specify that where required by these orders, local fire alarm signaling systems be designed to meet specific national consensus standards, depending on when they were installed, in addition to the requirements of the section. Those systems installed on or before the effective date of the standard would be required to meet the design requirements of National Fire Protection Association (NFPA) 72A1975, while those installed after the effective date would be required to meet the design requirements of NFPA 72, 2002. Finally, subsections (c), (d), and (e) are proposed to be amended to add clarifying language to be consistent with Federal OSHA requirements contained in 29 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) 1910.165.

This proposed rulemaking action contains several nonsubstantive editorial revisions. These nonsubstantive revisions are not all discussed in this Informative Digest. However, these proposed revisions are clearly indicated in the regulatory text in underline and strikeout format. In addition to these nonsubstantive revisions, the following actions are proposed:

## Section 6184. Employee Alarm Systems.

### Subsection (a) Scope and Application.

Subsection (a) establishes which alarm systems are regulated by Section 6184, and the requirements that apply to those regulated systems.

### Subsections (a)(4), (a)(5) and (a)(6)

Subsection (a)(4) requires that the employee alarm be distinctive and recognizable as a signal to evacuate the work area or to perform actions designated under the emergency action plan.

Subsection (a)(5) requires that all employees be made aware of means and methods of reporting emergencies, and provides examples of various reporting means and methods.

Subsection (a)(6) requires that the employer establish procedures for sounding emergency alarms in the workplace, and identifies procedures deemed appropriate for employers with 10 or fewer employees.

An amendment is proposed to relocate subsections (a)(4), (a)(5), and (a)(6) to subsection (b), General Requirements, as new subsections (b)(4), (b)(5), and (b)(6), respectively.

The relocation of these subsections is necessary to improve the clarity of this section by organizing the standards consistent with existing subsection titles. The proposed amendment is nonsubstantive in that it does not alter existing requirements, but rather, organizes the section in a more clear and consistent format comparable to federal counterpart standards contained in 29 CFR, section 1910.165.

### Subsection (b) General Requirements.

#### Subsection (b)(1)

Subsection (b)(1) mandates that required local fire alarm signaling systems meet the requirements of Section 6184, and the design requirements of the National Fire Protection Association's (NFPA) Standard for the Installation, Maintenance, and Use of Local Protection Signaling Systems for Watchman, Fire Alarm and Supervisory Service, NFPA No. 72A1975. It is proposed to require that those systems installed on or before the specified effective date of the standard meet the design requirements contained in NFPA 72A1975, while those installed after the specified effective date would be required to meet NFPA 72, 2002. It is proposed to incorporate both documents by reference. This amendment is necessary to ensure that new local fire alarm installations meet current design standards, while retaining the national standard design requirements already in effect for existing installations. The amendment would have no effect other than to require new installations to meet the design requirements of current national standards, consistent with current industry practice.

### New Subsections (b)(4), (b)(5), and (b)(6)

See proposed amendments to subsections (a)(4), (a)(5), and (a)(6) above.

Subsection (c) Installation and Restoration.Subsection (c)(2)

Subsection (c)(2) requires that all employee alarm systems be restored to normal operating conditions as promptly as possible after each test or alarm. A nonsubstantive, editorial amendment is proposed to add the phrase, "The employer shall assure that" at the beginning of the sentence, consistent with federal counterpart language. Additionally, an amendment is proposed to add the sentence, "Devices and components of alarm systems that are subject to wear or destruction shall have replacements available in sufficient quantities and locations for prompt restoration of the system." The amendments are for clarity purposes, and to ensure that California's requirements are at least as effective as federal counterpart standards contained in 29 CFR 1910.165(c)(2).

Subsection (d) Maintenance and Testing.

Subsections (d)(1), (2), (4), and (5), regarding the maintenance and testing of employee alarm systems, are proposed to be editorially revised to be consistent with federal counterpart regulations contained in 29 CFR 1910.165. The phrase, "The employer shall assure that" is proposed to be added at the beginning of each subsection, and the second sentence in (d)(4). The amendments are for clarity purposes, and to ensure that California's requirements are at least as effective as federal counterpart standards.

Subsection (e) Manual Operation.

Subsection (e)(1) requires that manually operated actuation devices for use in conjunction with employee alarms are to be unobstructed, conspicuous and readily accessible. A nonsubstantive, editorial amendment is proposed to add the phrase, "The employer shall assure that" at the beginning of the sentence, consistent with federal counterpart language. The amendment is for clarity purposes, and to ensure that California's requirements are at least as effective as federal counterpart standards.

**DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE**

1. The National Fire Protection Association's Fire Alarm Code, NFPA No. 72, 2002 Edition.
2. National Fire Protection Association's Standard for the Installation, Maintenance, and Use of Local Protection Signaling Systems for Watchman, Fire Alarm and Supervisory Service, NFPA No. 72A1975.

These documents are too cumbersome or impractical to publish in Title 8. Therefore, it is proposed to incorporate these documents by reference. Copies of these documents are available for review Monday through Friday from 8:00 a.m. to 4:30 p.m. at the Standards Board Office located at 2520 Venture Oaks Way, Suite 350, Sacramento, California.



## **COST ESTIMATES OF PROPOSED ACTION**

### **Costs or Savings to State Agencies**

No costs or savings to state agencies will result as a consequence of the proposed action because this rulemaking only proposes to update a 30-year old, national standard reference that is out of print and no longer available, and add language consistent with the federal counterpart standard.

### **Impact on Housing Costs**

The Board has made an initial determination that this proposal will not significantly affect housing costs.

### **Impact on Businesses**

The Board has made an initial determination that this proposal will not result in a significant, statewide adverse economic impact directly affecting businesses, including the ability of California businesses to compete with businesses in other states. The proposed amendments improve the clarity of this section by organizing the standards consistent with the existing subsection titles. Additionally, the proposal requires fire alarm signaling systems installed after the effective date of the standard to adhere to current national consensus design standards, consistent with current industry practice. Systems installed prior to the effective date are essentially unaffected in that they are still required to meet the design requirements specified in the existing standard. This proposal also makes Section 6184 consistent with corresponding federal requirements.

### **Cost Impact on Private Persons or Businesses**

The Board is not aware of any cost impacts that a representative private person or business would necessarily incur in reasonable compliance with the proposed action.

### **Costs or Savings in Federal Funding to the State**

The proposal will not result in costs or savings in federal funding to the state.

### **Costs or Savings to Local Agencies or School Districts Required to be Reimbursed**

No costs to local agencies or school districts are required to be reimbursed. See explanation under "Determination of Mandate."

### **Other Nondiscretionary Costs or Savings Imposed on Local Agencies**

This proposal does not impose nondiscretionary costs or savings on local agencies.

## **DETERMINATION OF MANDATE**

The Occupational Safety and Health Standards Board has determined that the proposed standard does not impose a local mandate. Therefore, reimbursement by the state is not required pursuant to Part 7 (commencing with section 17500) of Division 4 of the Government Code because the proposed amendment will not require local agencies or school districts to incur additional costs in complying with

the proposal. Furthermore, the standard does not constitute a “new program or higher level of service of an existing program within the meaning of section 6 of Article XIII B of the California Constitution.”

The California Supreme Court has established that a “program” within the meaning of section 6 of Article XIII B of the California Constitution is one which carries out the governmental function of providing services to the public, or which, to implement a state policy, imposes unique requirements on local governments and does not apply generally to all residents and entities in the state. (County of Los Angeles v. State of California (1987) 43 Cal.3d 46.)

The proposed standard does not require local agencies to carry out the governmental function of providing services to the public. Rather, the standard requires local agencies to take certain steps to ensure the safety and health of their own employees only. Moreover, the proposed standard does not in any way require local agencies to administer the California Occupational Safety and Health program. (See City of Anaheim v. State of California (1987) 189 Cal.App.3d 1478.)

The proposed standard does not impose unique requirements on local governments. All employers - state, local and private - will be required to comply with the prescribed standard.

### **EFFECT ON SMALL BUSINESSES**

The Board has determined that the proposed amendments may affect small businesses. However, no economic impact is anticipated.

### **ASSESSMENT**

The adoption of the proposed amendments to this standard will neither create nor eliminate jobs in the State of California nor result in the elimination of existing businesses or create or expand businesses in the State of California.

### **REASONABLE ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED**

Our Board must determine that no reasonable alternative considered by the Board or that has otherwise been identified and brought to the attention of the Board would be more effective in carrying out the purpose for which the action is proposed or would be as effective and less burdensome to affected private persons than the proposed action.

A copy of the proposed changes in STRIKEOUT/UNDERLINE format is available upon request made to the Occupational Safety and Health Standard Board's Office, 2520 Venture Oaks Way, Suite 350, Sacramento, CA 95833, (916) 274-5721. Copies will also be available at the Public Hearing.

An INITIAL STATEMENT OF REASONS containing a statement of the purpose and factual basis for the proposed actions, identification of the technical documents relied upon, and a description of any identified alternatives has been prepared and is available upon request from the Standards Board's Office.

Notice is also given that any interested person may present statements or arguments orally or in writing at the hearing on the proposed changes under consideration. It is requested, but not required, that written comments be submitted so that they are received no later than October 15, 2004. The official record of the rulemaking proceedings will be closed at the conclusion of the public hearing

and written comments received after 5:00 p.m. on October 21, 2004 will not be considered by the Board unless the Board announces an extension of time in which to submit written comments. Written comments should be mailed to the address provided below or submitted by fax at (916) 274-5743 or e-mailed at [oshsb@hq.dir.ca.gov](mailto:oshsb@hq.dir.ca.gov). The Occupational Safety and Health Standards Board may thereafter adopt the above proposal substantially as set forth without further notice.

The Occupational Safety and Health Standards Board's rulemaking file on the proposed actions including all the information upon which the proposals are based are open to public inspection Monday through Friday, from 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m. at the Standards Board's Office, 2520 Venture Oaks Way, Suite 350, Sacramento, CA 95833.

The full text of proposed changes, including any changes or modifications that may be made as a result of the public hearing, shall be available from the Executive Officer 15 days prior to the date on which the Standards Board adopts the proposed changes.

Inquiries concerning either the proposed administrative action or the substance of the proposed changes may be directed to Keith Umemoto, Executive Officer, or Michael Manieri, Principal Safety Engineer, at (916) 274-5721.

You can access the Board's notice and other materials associated with this proposal on the Standards Board's homepage/website address which is <http://www.dir.ca.gov/oshsb>. Once the Final Statement of Reasons is prepared, it may be obtained by accessing the Board's website or by calling the telephone number listed above.

OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH  
STANDARDS BOARD

---

STEVEN L. RANK, Chairman

**TITLE 8**

**HIGH VOLTAGE ELECTRICAL SAFETY ORDERS**

**CHAPTER 4, SUBCHAPTER 5, ARTICLE 36**

**SECTION 2940.1**

**VOLTAGE DETERMINATION**

**TITLE 8**

**GENERAL INDUSTRY SAFETY ORDERS**

**CHAPTER 4, SUBCHAPTER 7, ARTICLE 165**

**SECTION 6184**

**EMPLOYEE ALARM SYSTEMS**

NOTICE OF ADOPTION OF REGULATIONS  
INTO TITLE 8, CALIFORNIA CODE OF REGULATIONS  
BY THE  
OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH STANDARDS BOARD

After proceedings held in accordance with and pursuant to the authority vested in Sections 142, 142.3 and 142.4, of the Labor Code to implement, interpret, or make specific, the Occupational Safety and Health Standards Board, by a majority vote, adopted additions, revisions, or deletions to the California Code of Regulations as follows:

1. Title 8, Chapter 4, Subchapter 4, Construction Safety Orders, Article 10, Section 1593, Securing Loads on Haulage Vehicles.

Heard at the November 20, 2003, Public Hearing; adopted on May 20, 2004; filed with the Secretary of State on June 28, 2004; and became effective on July 28, 2004.

2. Title 8, Chapter 4, Subchapter 7, General Industry Safety Orders, Article 109, Section 5194, Hazard Communication Amendments.

Heard at the April 15, 2004, Public Hearing; adopted on May 20, 2004; filed with the Secretary of State on July 6, 2004; and became effective on July 6, 2004.

3. Title 8, Chapter 4, Subchapter 4, Construction Safety Orders, Article 29, Section 1716.2, Fall Protection for Residential-Type Framing Activities.

Heard at the November 20, 2003, Public Hearing; adopted on May 20, 2004; filed with the Secretary of State on July 7, 2004; and became effective on August 6, 2004.

4. Title 8, Chapter 4, Subchapter 7, General Industry Safety Orders, Article 7, Section 3301, Pressure Testing of Pipes and Other Containers.

Heard at the October 16, 2003, Public Hearing; adopted on May 20, 2004; filed with the Secretary of State on July 7, 2004; and became effective on August 6, 2004.

5. Title 8, Chapter 4, Subchapter 4, Construction Safety Orders, Article 19, Section 1636 and Subchapter 7, General Industry Safety Orders, Article 2, Section 3212, Guarding of Skylights.

Heard at the August 21, 2003, Public Hearing; adopted on May 20, 2004; filed with the Secretary of State on July 7, 2004; and became effective on August 6, 2004.

6. Title 8, Chapter 4, Subchapter 4, Construction Safety Orders, Article 2, Section 1523, Illumination for Nighttime Highway Construction Projects.

Heard at the September 18, 2003, Public Hearing; adopted on October 16, 2003; filed with the Secretary of State on July 13, 2004; and became effective on August 12, 2004.

7. Title 8, Chapter 4, Subchapter 7, General Industry Safety Orders, Article 107, Section 5147, Respiratory Protection for M. Tuberculosis.

Heard at the May 20, 2004, Public Hearing; adopted on June 17, 2004; filed with the Secretary of State on July 20, 2004; and became effective on July 20, 2004.

A copy of these standards are available upon request from the Occupational Safety and Health Standards Board, 2520 Venture Oaks Way, Suite 350, Sacramento, CA 95833, (916) 274-5721.

If you have Internet access, visit the Occupational Safety and Health Standards Board by going to: **<http://www.dir.ca.gov/oshsb>** and follow the links to the Standards Board. This information is updated monthly. The Standards Board's e-mail address is: **[oshsb@dir.ca.gov](mailto:oshsb@dir.ca.gov)**.

OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH  
STANDARDS BOARD

---

Marley Hart, Staff Services Manager